

Below is an outline of the rubric that will be used to rate each nominee for the JEDI Excellence Award.

Award Criteria	Outstanding (4)	Exceeds Expectations (3)	Successful (2)	Limited (1)	Blank/Off Topic (0)	Points Earned	Comments
	Specific and measurable examples of nominee's excellence that <u>far</u> exceeded the criteria, and documented that this occurred on a consistent basis.	Specific and measurable examples of nominee's excellence that exceeded the criteria, and documented that this occurred on a consistent basis.	Specific examples of nominee's excellence; however, the examples provided did not demonstrate a consistent or frequent basis.	Limited information and description of nominee's excellence. Examples provided were vague, broad, and immeasurable.	No example provided, or example provided is off-topic.		
Actions and activities that promote an environment that is welcoming, supportive, and nurturing to all							
Actions and activities that raise awareness and consciousness about diversity and inclusion across DFM							
Advocacy that has had a significant impact on justice, equity, diversity and inclusion with DFM							
Publications/presentations that promote JEDI							
erve as a mentor to learners and colleagues by helping with career, leadership, or scholarship development with a focus on enhancing the experience and opportunities available to those historically excluded in medicine and academics.							

Area(s) otherwise not addressed in criteria							
Total Points						XX	